INTRODUCTION

In 2016, 39% of the world’s population was overweight and 13% were obese.\(^1\) Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) is frequently used as a surgical treatment for obesity and its comorbidities. Although highly effective, this procedure is not exempt from complications, which occur in approximately 20% of cases.\(^2\) The most frequent complications are stenosis (8%-19%), gastro-jejunal or jejunum-jejunal anastomosis leakage (0.6%-4.4%), and thromboembolic disease (3%). Gastrointestinal hemorrhage accounts for up to 11% of early complications and commonly originates in the gastrojejunal anastomosis suture line. However, this can also occur in the jejunum-jejunal anastomosis or in the excluded limb, including the gastric remnant and duodenum. Late complications, although less common, include marginal ulcers (2%-5%), perforation (1%-2%), hernias, and bowel obstruction. Gastrointestinal bleeding is very infrequent in the late postoperative period.\(^3\) We present the case of a patient with a clinical history of RYGB admitted for upper gastrointestinal bleeding, and we will discuss the therapeutic approach in this scenario.

CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old man with obesity who underwent RYGB 13 years ago presented to the emergency department with four days of melena, abdominal distension, and progressive dyspnea. He self-medicated with ibuprofen 600 mg/day for the
Fig. 1. Colonoscopic findings. (A) Fresh blood from the ileum (ileocecal valve), suggesting an upper origin of the bleeding. (B) Ileum with presence of fresh blood.

Fig. 2. Enteroscopy with a pediatric colonoscope through the biliopancreatic limb. (A) Identification of the pylorus with erosions (view from the duodenum). (B) View of the gastric greater curvature from the antrum. (C) An ulcer was detected in the proximal duodenal region (posterior wall) with oozing bleeding. (D) Injection therapy with adrenaline, and thermocoagulation with a bipolar probe and four hemoclip(s), of which three were effective. Thereafter, hemostasis was completed with hemospray.
last three days. He noted occasional alcohol consumption but denied smoking. He was hospitalized five years ago for gastrointestinal hemorrhage and, at that time, presented with similar clinical manifestations but without nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use. During his previous hospitalization, upper and lower endoscopic examinations did not reveal any lesions. At the emergency department, the patient was hemodynamically stable and physical examination did not reveal any pertinent findings. High-dose intravenous omeprazole was administered and upper endoscopy was performed; however, no evidence of recent bleeding was found, and the gastrojejunal anastomosis was permeable and had no lesions. The study was complemented by colonoscopy. After ingesting a laxative solution, the patient was noted to have hematochezia and hemodynamic instability. Laboratory tests confirmed a significant decrease in hemoglobin level (from 10 g/dL to 7.5 g/dL), and two units of red blood cells were transfused.

Colonoscopy did not reveal any lesions, but fresh blood from the ileum was identified (Fig. 1). An abdominopelvic computed tomography angiography (CTA) was subsequently performed; however, the site of bleeding still could not be identified. Given the recent use of NSAIDs, an upper endoscopy using a pediatric colonoscope was performed to assess the gastric remnant and the duodenum (Fig. 2A, B). After ascending through the biliopancreatic limb, a Forrest Ib ulcer was identified in the duodenal bulb immediately distal to the pylorus (Fig. 2C). Hemostasis was performed using injection therapy, thermoagulation, and four clips (Fig. 2D). Due to the persistence of oozing bleeding, a hemospray was used to achieve satisfactory hemostasis.

After 72 hours of high-dose omeprazole, monitoring, and no evidence of recurrent bleeding, the patient was discharged. Over 8-months of follow-up consults, the patient remained asymptomatic and without any new hemorrhages.

**DISCUSSION**

Gastrointestinal bleeding following RYGB has an incidence rate of 0.4%–4.4% and can occur in either the early (<30 days) or late (>30 days) postoperative period, with each having different causes and approaches. Early events are usually associated with anastomotic leaks and hemorrhage in the staple line, most frequently in the gastrojejunostomy and jejunojejunos¬
tomy, with the latter usually manifesting as intra-abdominal bleeding or hemoperitoneum. Conservative management for these complications is often sufficient; however, in unstable patients or those that require repeated transfusion, surgery was almost always the treatment of choice in the past until an endoscopic approach proved to be a safe and equally effective alternative in selected cases.6,5

Late gastrointestinal bleeding is rare and can present as melena/hematochezia, chronic bleeding with iron deficiency anemia, or heme-positive stools. Marginal ulcers in anastomosis sites are the most common cause of late bleeding in bypassed patients. In addition, a tense anastomosis favors microvascular ischemia and neovascularization, which increases the risk of bleeding. Peptic ulcers in the gastric remnant can also be a late cause of bleeding as these patients are susceptible to several risk factors for peptic disease, such as Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection, use of NSAIDs, smoking, and preserved acid secretion in the gastric pouch. Additionally, the occasional passage of non-absorbable sutures into the lumen favors the formation of ulcers.6,6

These events constitute a complex scenario especially when upper and lower endoscopic examinations do not identify the source of bleeding. Bleeding from the excluded segments is a rare phenomenon, and while there are no specific clinical guidelines available, several management algorithms have been proposed.7 To date, there is no consensus about the endoscopic approach.

Generally, the patient’s clinical presentation can provide clues to the source of bleeding: hematemesis suggests a proximal source (the gastric pouch and/or the gastrojejunal anastomosis) and can be quickly excluded with an upper endoscopy. Upper endoscopy is frequently used only in late postoperative bleeding due to the high risk of dehiscence and perforation associated with its use in the early postoperative period. Therefore, upper endoscopy is only considered in cases of rebleeding after conservative management or in patients who present with hemodynamic instability.7

In cases of gastrointestinal bleeding following RYGB without evident lesions on upper endoscopy, subsequent decisions will depend on the patient’s stability and clinical suspicion. In hemodynamically stable patients, a lower origin of bleeding can be ruled-out using colonoscopy.6 An imaging study with contrast, such as CTA, is also useful especially when active bleeding is suspected. In this case, colonoscopy showed blood and blood clots coming from the ileum (Fig. 1), therefore increasing the suspicion of an upper source of the bleeding. If CTA does not reveal the bleeding site, the next step is to study the biliopancreatic limb.

There are several alternatives to explore the excluded limb, including double-balloon enteroscopy (DBE), mono balloon enteroscopy, push enteroscopy, and intraoperative laparoscopic endoscopy. Currently, DBE is recommended by the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy for studying occult bleeding in small bowels with altered anatomy; DBE
has proven to be useful in RYGB, even for the evaluation of the excluded stomach and duodenum. A second upper endoscopy may enable access to the biliary limb but is unlikely to reach the duodenum and gastric remnant. An endoscopic capsule is not recommended as it cannot access the excluded limb.

In the absence of DBE, an expert endoscopist usually modifies the technique or instrument to access difficult segments. Push enteroscopy with a colonoscope has proven to be useful in these scenarios, although its utility has not been compared with DBE. In this case, the use of a pediatric colonoscope allowed passage through the narrow and angled course to the excluded limb (Fig. 3). After the lesion was identified, the wide working channel of the colonoscope facilitated satisfactory endoscopic therapy with traditional devices. This is one advantage over DBE, which has a narrow working channel that limits its compatibility with traditional devices. However, the length of the biliopancreatic limb is an important factor in the success of the procedure. RYGB surgery was usually performed with a long biliopancreatic limb length which is associated with malnutrition and liver dysfunction in some patients. To avoid these complications, the biliopancreatic limb length has been shortened. This difference could explain why previous articles could not reach the duodenum using a pediatric colonoscope. If the source of the bleeding is not identified by endoscopy, an alternative approach is to use angiography with interventional radiology. This strategy is preferred in surgically high-risk patients; however, because of the terminal irrigation of the jejunum and ileum, there is a higher risk of developing segmental necrosis following embolization. Furthermore, there is also a risk of devascularization of the gastric pouch, which depends on the left gastric artery. Nevertheless, current techniques enable selective embolization to decrease this risk. When the above alternatives do not stop the bleeding, surgery is needed. Because revision surgery in the acute period is associated with higher morbidity and mortality (10%–30% versus < 2% in elective surgery), stabilization up to a semi-elective condition is recommended.

Approximately 60% of cases of bleeding recurrence occur in the same lesion. In this case, even if the origin of the bleeding in the first event was not found, it was likely the same as that in the second event. Prevention of peptic rebleeding is based on modifying risk factors such as smoking, use of NSAIDs or antiplatelet drugs, and detection and eradication of *H. pylori*. Proton-pump inhibitors reduce the risk of delayed bleeding secondary to peptic disease in the gastric remnant and duodenum. However, while its prophylactic use after RYGB has been proposed, its potential adverse effects are controversial.

Gastrointestinal bleeding from the excluded limb in bypassed patients is a challenge for endoscopists and surgeons. After upper endoscopy, colonoscopy, and CTA without findings, push enteroscopy using a pediatric colonoscope could be considered in the study of a biliopancreatic limb. Prospective studies are needed to compare this strategy to other endoscopic alternatives.
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